Neo Journal of Economy and Social Humanities **ISSN: 2828-6480**
Vol. 3, No. 1, March 2024
pp. 41-46 http://dx.doi.org/10.56403/nejesh.v3i1.170

Exploring the Potential of Architectural Semiotic in Realizing the Smart City Concept

Ayub Muktiono
Krisnadwipayana University Jakarta, Indonesia

Article Information

Article History:
Submited, 22-01-2024
Revised, 30-01-2024
Accepted, 01-02-2024
Published, 01-03-2024

Corresponding Author:

Ayub Muktiono
Krisnadwipayana University Jakarta, Indonesia.

Email:
ayubmuktiono@unkris.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Smart City is an urban development paradigm that integrates information and communication technology to improve the quality of life of residents, efficient resource management and environmental sustainability. Architectural semiotic, as the embodiment of visual language in architecture, has an important role in creating a smart and sustainable environment. This research aims to explore the potential of architectural semiotic in realizing the Smart City concept. This research uses a qualitative approach with descriptive methods. The results of this research reveal that the potential of architectural semiotic in the Smart City concept can be optimized through steps such as literature study and concept analysis, use of modern technology, interdisciplinary collaboration, community participation, and development of design guidelines. By engaging architects, data scientists, engineers, and the public, and creating practical guidance, this research details how architectural semiotic can be effectively integrated in smart city development. It is hoped that these results will make a significant contribution in creating a smart, efficient, aesthetic and sustainable urban environment in accordance with Smart City principles.

Keywords: architectural semiotic, smart city, concept analysis, use of technology, sustainability

1. INTRODUCTION

In this era of globalization, rapid urban population growth has become a reality that demands serious attention. The high migration of people from rural areas to urban areas, both due to urbanization and economic factors, has caused a surge in population in big cities (Gaur et al., 2015). The impact is very complex and covers a number of problems, including transportation complexity, limited health services, poor air quality, threatened availability of clean water, overburdened educational facilities, and rising unemployment rates (Bawany & Shamsi, 2015).

The rapid development of urbanization also brings new challenges in environmental management, including increasingly complex waste management problems (Zhang et al., 2010). In addition, cities are faced with the issue of a shortage of land for development and increasing population density which can result in greater pressure on city infrastructure and resources. In this context, efficient and sustainable urban management is the key to responding to these challenges (Ichimura, 2003).

The future of cities raises concerns regarding land availability and population which could put further pressure on city resources. Therefore, smart and innovative urban planning needs to be the main focus (Pettit et al., 2018). Solutions involving the use of information and communication technology (ICT) to increase efficiency and sustainability in urban management, known as the Smart City concept, are becoming relevant and important (Belli et al., 2020). By applying technology intelligently, cities can be directed towards a better balance between population growth, resource availability and environmental conservation. In facing this challenge, collaboration between government, the private sector and society needs to be strengthened in order to create cities that are more sustainable, inclusive and responsive to the needs of their residents (Ibrahim & Morsy, 2016).

The concept of smart city management, or better known as Smart City, is an urgent solution and an essential need in responding to the complexity of problems faced by modern cities (Kumar et al., 2020). By

involving careful planning, efficient use of human resources, integrated infrastructure, wise financial management, and optimization of other resources, Smart City aims to provide services to city residents quickly, precisely, and affordably (Goodspeed, 2015).

One of the key points of the Smart City concept is the intelligent implementation of information and communication technology (ICT) to increase efficiency and effectiveness in the delivery of public services, transportation, health, education and city infrastructure (Dameri, 2017). By utilizing sensors, big data analysis, artificial intelligence and high connectivity, smart cities can respond quickly to the needs of their citizens, create a dynamic environment and improve the quality of life of residents (Allam & Zummy, 2019).

Apart from that, the Smart City concept also offers innovative solutions to global demands, which include accommodation for changing lifestyles and adopting a long-term development vision to achieve urban sustainability (Wenge et al., 2014). In this context, building design management becomes a crucial aspect, and the existence of architectural semiotic is very necessary (Anthopoulos, 2015).

Architectural semiotic acts as a visual language that details aesthetics, functionality and sustainability in the design of buildings and urban spaces. By intelligently utilizing architectural semiotic, Smart City can integrate aesthetic values with efficiency and sustainability in every building design (Somov, 2001). The use of environmentally friendly materials, designs that support energy efficiency, and adaptation to surrounding environmental conditions are key elements in architectural semi-okays to achieve city sustainability goals (Suprapti & Iskandar, 2020).

The importance of architectural semiotic in managing building design in a smart urban environment is not only creating visually beautiful spaces, but also supporting sustainable functions which are the basic principles of Smart City (Novotny et al., 2014). Thus, the integration of architectural semiotic in the Smart City concept provides a very important additional dimension, helping to create a city that is not only technologically smart, but also aesthetic, sustainable and environmentally friendly (Kirimtat et al., 2020).

2. LITERATUR REVIEW

1. Smart City Concept

According to Thomas L Friedman in (2000), THE CITATION WAS TO OLD, PLEASE USED THE NEWEST ONE Smart City is a city development concept that utilizes technological development so that it can improve public services and make the quality of life better. According to Cohen & Karatzimas (2022), Smart City is a city that applies Information and Communication Technology (ICT) intelligently and efficiently in the use of resources so that it can produce cost savings, improve services and quality of life and support environmentally friendly economic functions. Smart City itself is a city design that utilizes the use of technology in everyday life. The Smart City design is based on cities and their development that utilize technology. The emergence of the Smart City concept was influenced by the public's need for fast, efficient and accurate public services in providing information and making it easier to take care of administrative needs.

2. Architectural Semiotics

Semiotics in architecture is a symbolic language that provides information to observers through certain forms. In this way, the process of interpreting a building that the architect wants to convey will be able or at least be understood by each individual user/user of the building (Krampen, 1989). Not only is architecture modern, architecture which is a historical heritage is also a complex sign system. In fact, the existing sign system ultimately becomes a reference for interpreting the meaning of architecture in the past and the culture they possessed. The more complex the signs found, the higher the level of culture. Even today, several tribes in the world still use symbols inherited from their ancestors applied to their buildings and residential areas (Loeckx & Heynen, 2020). The definition of sign in architecture is not narrow. It is not only limited to things that are symbolic in nature, containing myths, cultural symbols or beliefs. Signs also include everything that is visible, as long as its nature still represents something else. Including building construction which is sometimes considered to have no meaning. The various signs in an architectural work basically carry the mission of the designer of the work..

3. RESEARCH METHOD

This research uses a qualitative approach, as explained by Gunawan (2013), who states that qualitative research aims to understand the phenomena experienced by the subject. In the context of research on the potential of architectural semiotic, a qualitative approach will allow researchers to explore the views, perceptions and motivations of stakeholders, such as architects, city planners and local communities regarding the implementation of architectural semiotic in the context of the Smart City concept. Apart from that, this research can be categorized as descriptive research, as explained by Sundari (2012). The aim of descriptive research is to collect as much information as possible about a particular problem or situation, which in this case is the potential of architectural semiotic in the Smart City context. The descriptive method allows researchers

to explain precisely and methodically the facts and qualities of the research object, so as to provide a more comprehensive understanding of how architectural semiotic can be a key element in realizing the Smart City concept.

4. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Exploring the potential of architectural semiotic in realizing the Smart City concept involves a number of strategic steps. Here are several ways to explore this potential:

1. Literature Study and Concept Analysis

Literature study and concept analysis are crucial first steps in exploring the potential of architectural semiotic to realize the Smart City concept. In this context, researchers need to investigate the literature related to the Smart City concept and explore the role of architectural semiotic in it. Concept analysis involves a deep understanding of the basic principles of architectural semiotic, namely how visual elements, aesthetics and meaning can be applied intelligently in a smart urban context.

Literature studies can include theoretical works, current research, and architectural projects that have integrated semiotic aspects in urban development. This concept analysis aims to identify patterns, trends and best practices that have been implemented in order to achieve Smart City goals. In addition, an in-depth understanding of the Smart City concept in general will provide a basis for researchers to determine how architectural semiotic can be a significant element in creating a smart and sustainable city. With a combination of literature study and concept analysis, researchers can build a strong foundation to continue exploring the potential of architectural semiotic in the Smart City context

2. Interviews with Stakeholders

Interviews with stakeholders are an essential next step in exploring the potential of architectural semiotic in realizing the Smart City concept. In these interviews, researchers can engage with a variety of key stakeholders, including architects, urban planners, developers, and local communities. An exchange of views and experiences with these experts will provide deep insight into how architectural semiotic can be practically implemented in smart urban environments.

Interviews with architects can discuss their perspectives on aesthetic and beauty concepts in building design that support Smart City goals. Urban planners can provide insight into the integration of architectural semiotic in efficient and sustainable urban spatial planning. Developers will provide perspectives related to financial and technical aspects in implementing architectural semiotic elements in city development projects. Interviews with local communities can open up an understanding of local preferences and how architectural semi-okay elements can add value to a community's identity and sustainability.

Through direct dialogue with stakeholders, researchers can obtain irreplaceable information, validate findings from the literature, and understand the practical dynamics that may be encountered in implementing architectural semiotic. This process will ensure that exploring the potential of architectural semiotic in Smart Cities is not only based on theory, but is also reflected in the views and experiences of practitioners directly involved in smart urban development.

3. Implementation Case Study

Implementation case studies are a very relevant next step in exploring the potential of architectural semiotic to realize the Smart City concept. By analyzing real cases where architectural semiotic has been successfully integrated in urban projects that put the Smart City concept into practice, researchers can gain an in-depth understanding of the impact, challenges and success of such implementation.

Through case studies, researchers can evaluate how architectural semiotic can contribute to aspects of sustainability, aesthetics and operational efficiency in the Smart City context. By paying attention to projects such as the arrangement of city parks, the revitalization of historic buildings, or the construction of public spaces that take into account aspects of semioca, researchers can determine how these elements can be adapted and adopted in the context of a smart city.

In addition, case studies also provide an overview of how stakeholders, including government, the private sector, and society, collaborate in implementing architectural semiotic. The success factors and obstacles that arise during the implementation process will provide valuable insights for designing more effective strategies in exploiting the potential of architectural semiotic.

Such implementation case studies can include global projects or focus on local scales relevant to the research context. By gaining inspiration and lessons from best practices in the field, researchers can direct the further development of the Smart City concept with optimal use of the potential of architectural semiotic.

4. Use of Technology

The use of technology is an important aspect in exploring the potential of architectural semiotic in realizing the Smart City concept. Modern technologies, such as digital architectural modeling, virtual simulation, and data analysis, can be powerful tools for understanding and optimizing the role of architectural

semiotic in smart urban environments. The use of digital architectural modeling allows architects and city planners to design and test semiotic concepts virtually before they are physically implemented, ensuring that the design is in line with Smart City principles.

Virtual simulations can help illustrate how architectural semi-okay elements interact with the surrounding environment, including aspects such as lighting, ventilation, and pedestrian mobility. With this simulation, researchers can predict the potential impact of semiotic architectural design on the quality of life of the community and the surrounding environment. Data analysis using techniques such as big data and artificial intelligence can also provide a deeper understanding of people's preferences, city needs, and behavioral patterns that can guide the development of effective semioca design.

Apart from that, the use of technology can also help create sustainable and efficient solutions. For example, renewable energy technologies can be integrated with architectural design to achieve optimal energy efficiency. Smart technology can also be used to monitor and manage certain aspects of semiotic design in real-time, enabling rapid response to changing city conditions. By utilizing technology intelligently, this research can detail how architectural semiotic can take advantage of technological innovation to support the Smart City concept, creating an adaptive and sustainable environment.

5. Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Interdisciplinary collaboration is an important basis in efforts to explore the potential of architectural semiotic to realize the Smart City concept. Collaboration involving architects, data scientists, engineers and sustainability experts is a strategic step in designing holistic and competitive solutions. In this context, architects bring a deep understanding of architectural aesthetics and design, data scientists contribute data analysis capabilities to support decision making, engineers bring technical expertise in smart infrastructure implementation, and sustainability experts guide green and sustainability aspects.

Such collaboration enables the alignment of ideas and concepts from various disciplines, creating more integrated and effective solutions. For example, this research could involve discussion and collaboration between architects and data scientists in developing digital architectural modeling models that combine visual aspects with in-depth data analysis. Engineers and sustainability experts can provide insight into the implementation of smart technology and the use of environmentally friendly materials to ensure harmony between aesthetics and sustainability goals.

Apart from that, collaboration can also stimulate innovation and the development of new concepts. Involving thinking from various scientific disciplines can open up the potential for creative ideas that might not have been thought of if only involving one discipline. This can create more complex and adaptive solutions to dynamic urban challenges. By strengthening interdisciplinary collaboration, this research can make a significant contribution to understanding and optimizing the role of architectural semiotic in Smart City development, creating the foundation for a more effective and innovative cross-disciplinary approach in designing a smart urban future.

6. Society participation

Interdisciplinary collaboration is an important basis in efforts to explore the potential of architectural semiotic to realize the Smart City concept. Collaboration involving architects, data scientists, engineers and sustainability experts is a strategic step in designing holistic and competitive solutions. In this context, architects bring a deep understanding of architectural aesthetics and design, data scientists contribute data analysis capabilities to support decision making, engineers bring technical expertise in smart infrastructure implementation, and sustainability experts guide green and sustainability aspects.

Such collaboration enables the alignment of ideas and concepts from various disciplines, creating more integrated and effective solutions. For example, this research could involve discussion and collaboration between architects and data scientists in developing digital architectural modeling models that combine visual aspects with in- depth data analysis. Engineers and sustainability experts can provide insight into the implementation of smart technology and the use of environmentally friendly materials to ensure harmony between aesthetics and sustainability goals.

Apart from that, collaboration can also stimulate innovation and the development of new concepts. Involving thinking from various scientific disciplines can open up the potential for creative ideas that might not have been thought of if only involving one discipline. This can create more complex and adaptive solutions to dynamic urban challenges. By strengthening interdisciplinary collaboration, this research can make a significant contribution to understanding and optimizing the role of architectural semiotic in Smart City development, creating the foundation for a more effective and innovative cross-disciplinary approach in designing a smart urban future.

7. Development of Guidelines and Standards

The development of architectural design guidelines and standards to support the Smart City concept is a strategic step in optimizing the potential of architectural semiotic. These guidelines can be a practical guide for architects, city planners and developers in designing smart and aesthetic urban environments. Through the

development of guidelines, this research can contribute to establishing a clear and structured framework for integrating architectural semiotic with Smart City principles.

Such guidelines may cover aspects such as environmentally friendly material selection, natural lighting strategies, energy efficiency and universal accessibility. Thus, these guidelines can help create designs that take into account sustainability, operational efficiency and occupant comfort in smart urban environments. Such guidelines may also include guidelines for accommodating modern technology and smart infrastructure that supports the Smart City concept.

In addition, developing guidelines can also create quality and aesthetic standards that can be measured. It is important to ensure that semiotic architectural design not only supports function and technology, but also considers aesthetic values and local identity. This standardization will help create consistency and quality in smart urban development in various locations.

The development of guidelines and standards of this kind can also be an instrument for increasing public awareness and understanding of the semi-okay values of architecture and the Smart City concept. By providing clear directions, it can be hoped that urban projects that incorporate architectural semi-okay will be more successfully adopted and accepted by the community. Thus, through this step, this research can contribute to designing a strong foundation for the implementation of architectural semiotic in the Smart City context, creating practical guidelines that can be used in directing future urban development.

5. CONCLUSION

Research into the potential of architectural semiotic in realizing the Smart City concept involves a series of strategic steps that can enrich our understanding of how architectural design can play a role in creating a smart and sustainable urban environment. By summarizing all the points that have been developed, it can be concluded that: First, literature study and concept analysis provide a strong foundation in understanding the essence of architectural semiotic and how it can be integrated in a Smart City. The use of technology, such as digital architectural modeling and data analysis, becomes an invaluable tool in projecting and optimizing designs virtually before their physical implementation. Interdisciplinary collaboration becomes an integral approach, combining expertise from various disciplines to design holistic solutions. Community participation provides a very important social dimension, ensuring that architectural design reflects local values and meets community needs. The development of design guidelines and standards is a practical step to guide architects and developers in applying architectural semiotic concepts consistently and sustainably. By bringing together all these steps, this research provides a comprehensive foundation for exploring the potential of architectural semiotic in supporting the vision of the Smart City concept. It is hoped that the results of this research can make a significant contribution to smart urban development, combining technology, aesthetics and community participation to create a competitive, efficient and sustainable urban environment.

REFERENCES

Allam, Z., & Dhunny, Z. A. (2019). On big data, artificial intelligence and smart cities. Cities, 89, 80-91.

Anthopoulos, L. (2015, August). Defining smart city architecture for sustainability. In Proceedings of 14th electronic government and 7th electronic participation conference (IFIP2015) (pp. 140-147).

Bawany, N. Z., & Shamsi, J. A. (2015). Smart city architecture: Vision and challenges. International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 6(11).

Belli, L., Cilfone, A., Davoli, L., Ferrari, G., Adorni, P., Di Nocera, F., ... & Bertolotti, E. (2020). IoT-enabled smart sustainable cities: Challenges and approaches. Smart Cities, 3(3), 1039-1071.

Cohen, S., & Karatzimas, S. (2022). Analyzing smart cities' reporting: do they report “smart”?. Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management, 34(5), 602-621.

Dameri, R. P. (2017). Smart city implementation. Progress in IS; Springer: Genoa, Italy.

Friedmann, J. (2000). The good city: In defense of utopian thinking. International journal of urban and Regional Research, 24(2), 460-472.

Gaur, A., Scotney, B., Parr, G., & McClean, S. (2015). Smart city architecture and its applications based on IoT. Procedia computer science, 52, 1089-1094.

Goodspeed, R. (2015). Smart cities: moving beyond urban cybernetics to tackle wicked problems. Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society, 8(1), 79-92.

Gunawan, I. (2022). Metode Penelitian Kualitatif: teori dan praktik. Bumi Aksara.

Ibrahim, M. A. A., & Morsy, D. M. (2016). Smart cities and sustainability: a set of vertical solutions for managing resources. International Journal of Environment and Sustainability, 5(3).

Ichimura, M. (2003, January). Urbanization, urban environment and land use: challenges and opportunities. In Asia-Pacific Forum for Environment and Development, Expert Meeting (Vol. 23, pp. 1-14).

Kirimtat, A., Krejcar, O., Kertesz, A., & Tasgetiren, M. F. (2020). Future trends and current state of smart city concepts: A survey. IEEE access, 8, 86448-86467.

Krampen, M. (1989). Semiotics in architecture and industrial/product design. Design Issues, 5(2), 124-140.

Kumar, H., Singh, M. K., Gupta, M. P., & Madaan, J. (2020). Moving towards smart cities: Solutions that lead to the Smart City Transformation Framework. Technological forecasting and social change, 153, 119281.

Loeckx, A., & Heynen, H. (2020). Meaning and Effect: Revisiting Semiotics in Architecture. The Figure of Knowledge. Conditioning Architectural Theory, 1960s–1990s, 31-61.

Novotný, R., Kuchta, R., & Kadlec, J. (2014). Smart city concept, applications and services. J. Telecommun. Syst. Manag, 3(1), 2167-0919.

Pettit, C., Bakelmun, A., Lieske, S. N., Glackin, S., Thomson, G., Shearer, H., ... & Newman, P. (2018). Planning support systems for smart cities. City, culture and society, 12, 13-24.

Soendari, T. (2012). Metode Penelitian Deskriptif. Bandung, UPI. Stuss, Magdalena & Herdan, Agnieszka, 17

Somov, G. Y. (2001). Semiotics of architecture and architecture of semiotics. Semiotiche Berichte, 1-4.

Suprapti, A., & Iskandar, I. (2020). Reading meaning of architectural work in a living heritage. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (Vol. 402, No. 1, p. 012023). IOP Publishing.

Wenge, R., Zhang, X., Dave, C., Chao, L., & Hao, S. (2014). Smart city architecture: A technology guide for implementation and design challenges. China Communications, 11(3), 56-69.

Zhang, D. Q., Tan, S. K., & Gersberg, R. M. (2010). Municipal solid waste management in China: status, problems and challenges. Journal of environmental management, 91(8), 1623-1633.